

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION**

**MARIA RAQUEL GONZALEZ,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**COMMISSIONER, SOCIAL SECURITY  
ADMINISTRATION,**

**Defendant.**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**Case No. 6:20-CV-56-JDK-JDL**

**ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  
OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

Plaintiff Maria Raquel Gonzalez filed this action against the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration. The case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge John D. Love pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636. On June 1, 2020, Judge Love issued a Report and Recommendation (Docket No. 7) recommending that the action be dismissed without prejudice for failure to timely effect service on Defendant and failure to comply with a Court order. *Id.* at 1–2.

This Court reviews the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge *de novo* only if a party objects within fourteen days of service of the Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In conducting a *de novo* review, the Court examines the entire record and makes an independent assessment under the law. *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass’n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*), *superseded on other grounds by statute*, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to file objections from ten to fourteen days). Here, Plaintiff did not file objections in the prescribed period. The Court therefore reviews the Magistrate Judge’s findings for clear error or abuse of discretion and reviews his legal conclusions to determine whether they are contrary to law. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989), *cert. denied*,


492 U.S. 918 (1989) (holding that, if no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law").

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, the Court adopts the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 7) as the findings of this Court.

Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report (Docket No. 7) be **ADOPTED**. It is further

**ORDERED** that the above-styled civil action is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for failure to timely effect service on Defendant and for failure to comply with the Court's order.

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** this **17th** day of **June, 2020**.

  
JEREMY D. KERNODLE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE